

F-8633

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
SEP 12 2006**REMARKS**

The abstract has been objected to and the objection is incorrect. The 150 word limitation does not apply to the U.S. national stage of a PCT application. MPEP 608.01(b); 37 C.F.R. § 1.72 ("The abstract in an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 may not exceed 150 words in length"); MPEP 1826 (the "PCT range of 50-150 words is not absolute"); MPEP 608.01(a) ¶ 6.02(j)(the abstract used in the PCT "is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO"); MPEP 1893.03(e)(the abstract used in the PCT "will be the abstract published by the USPTO under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b) and any U.S. patent issuing from the application".)

Claims 9-14 have been rejected for failure to comply with the "enablement" requirement and Applicant respectfully disagrees. There is no requirement that the claims repeat exactly the same words as the specification. *In re Wilder*, 736 F.2d 1516, 222 USPQ 369 (Fed. Cir. 1984) (the words in the claims need not be expressly found in the specification so long as one of ordinary skill would recognize support for the claim terms in the specification). Nonetheless, Applicant has amended the claims to change "rest position(s)" in the claims to "final position(s)", which is the original claim wording.

The USPTO is hereby authorized to charge any fee(s) or fee(s) deficiency or credit any excess payment to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

F-8633

In light of the foregoing, the application is now believed to be in proper form for allowance of all claims and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By   
C. Bruce Hamburg  
Reg. No. 22,389  
Attorney for Applicants  
and,

By   
T. David Bomzer  
Reg. No. 48,770  
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP  
122 East 42nd Street  
New York, New York 10168  
(212) 986-2340